Apr. 2002

Study on 9 Traits in Mongolian Nationality of Hulunbuir League

Zheng Lianbin¹, Han Zaizhu², Lu Shunhua³, Li Yonglan³, Li Shuyuan³

(¹Department of Biology, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China 300074;² Department of Psychology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China 100875;³ Department of Biology, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Huhhot, China 010022)

Summary: A sample of 947 Mongolians of Bargud, Elute and Buriat was investigated on 9 indexes (hair form, forehead hair-ledge point, eyefold of upper eyelid, Mongoloid fold, lobe type, nasal profile, nostril type, front tooth type, and chin projection) in Hulunbuir league, Inner Mongolia in Sept., 1997. The result is as follows:(1)Most Mongolians in Hulunbuir league appeared straight half, forehead hair-ledge point, eyefold eyelid, Mongoloid fold goliarls in Hulunbuir league appeared straight half, forehead hair-ledge point, eyefold eyelid, Mongoloid fold appearance, shovel-shaped front tooth, straight nose, wide nostril, non-projecting chin. (2)The frequencies of forehead hair-ledge point appearance, shovel-shaped front tooth appearance and projecting chin showed significant difference between the 3 groups. (3)The incidence of lobe type, nasal profile, and front tooth type had obvious sexual difference. (4)Correlation did not occur between most of the 9 traits.

Key words: forehead hair-ledge point; Eyefold of upper eyelid; Lobe type; Correlation; Mongolian Nationality

Some morphological traits in man are concerned with genetic factors. Based on different genetic quantity, different groups show different frequencies in certain morphological traits. Mongolians have played an important role in the course of world history. The population number of Chinese Mongolians was 4.8 million in 1990[1]. Hulunbuir league is situated in eastern 1liner Mongolia in China, where Mongolian nationality live compactly. Mongolian nationality where is mainly composed of 3 groups: (1) Bargud: their ancestors inhabited on the east of Bajaer lake, and they moved in Hulunbuir league in the early 18th century. (2) Elute: they had been lived in Xinjiang before they settled down in Hulunbuir league. (3)Buriat: they lived around Bajaer lake formerly, and they moved in Hulunbuir league in the early 20th century. Presently, the population number of the group is several thousand. Because Mongolian nationality has not been reported on human population genetic research, we carry out the present study. We pay attention to study some head-face traits about the nationality.

1 Subjects and methods

In Sept.,1997,947 (439 boys,508 girls)Mongolian students among 3 groups (Bargud, Elute and Buriat) were investigated on 9 indexes (hair form, forehead hair-ledge point, eyefold of upper eyelid, Mongoloid fold, lobe type, nasal profile, nostril type, front tooth type, and chin projection) in Hulunbuir league, Inner Mongolia, China. Specifically, 413 Bargud students (196 boys, 217 girls) were investigated in the No. 2 middle school of Cheng Bargud banner. And 426 Elute students (201 boys,225 girls) and 108 Buriat ones (42 boys,66 girls) were investigated in the No.1 middle school of Ewenke banner and Ewenke middle school. All the subjects are healthy middle school sects are healthy middle school students aged 12 to 20 years old. We investigated all the students in the randomly selected classes.

Eyefold of upper eyelid, Mongoloid fold, front tooth type, chin projection were investigated to follow the *MEASURE HANDBOOK ON HUMAN BODY*^[2], and forehead hair-ledge point, nasal profile, nostril type to follow *HUAMAN GENETIC BASIS*^[3] and lobe type to follow the method of Martin and Saller (1961) ^[4] Hair form were classified into straight hair (S) and curling hair (C); and forehead hair-ledge point were point appearance (Y) and point disappearance (N); and eyefold of upper eyelid was eyefold appearance (Y) and eyefold disappearance N); and Mongoloid fold was fold appearance (Y) and fold disappearance (N); and nasal profile was straight nose (S) and projecting nose (P); and nostril type was wide nostril (W) and narrow

nostril (N); and front tooth type was shovel-shaped tooth (Y) and non-shovel-shaped tooth N); and chin projection was straight chin (S) and projecting chin (P).

2 Result

In the 3 Mongolian groups in Hulunbuir league, the findings of 9 indexes is in table 1, and the comparison of the indexes is in table 2, and the correlation analysis between the indexes is in table 3, and the gene frequencies of 7 indexes is in table 4.

Table 1. Findings of 9 indexes in Mongolian groups(N,%)

Hair form		Table 1. Findings of 9 indexes in Mongolian groups(N,%)												
Hair form		Total			Buriat			Elute			Bargud			
Hair form S	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male		
Hair form C 18 23 41 17 17 34 7 5 12 42 45 8 8 90.43 91.14 91.17 17 34 7 5 12 42 45 8 8 Forehead Point N 56.63 58 88 183 91 95 186 11 20 31.00 187 213 43.37 45.16 44.31 45.27 42.22 43.66 26.19 30.30 28.70 42.60 41.93 43.37 45.16 44.31 45.27 42.22 43.66 26.19 30.30 28.70 42.60 41.93 43.61 43.11 119 230 110 130 240 31 46 77 252 295 295 295 295 295 295	947	508	439	108	66	42	426	225	201	413	217	196		
Hair form C 18 23 41 17 17 34 7 5 12 42 45 88.89 90.43 91.14 92.00 88.89 90.43 91.14 92.00 88.89 90.43 91.14 92.00 9.18 10.60 9.93 8.46 7.56 7.98 16.67 7.58 11.11 9.57 8.86 98 183 91 95 186 11 20 31.00 187 213 9.00 110 130 240 31 46 77 252 295 9.00 111 119 230 110 130 240 31 46 77 252 295 9.00 110 130 240 31 46 77 252 295 9.00 110 130 240 31 46 77 252 295 9.00 18.45 16.84 14.29 15.50 17.91 19.56 18.78 28.59 22.73 25.00 18.45 17.72 18.16 19.00 19.72 19.10 19.56 18.78 28.59 22.73 25.00 18.45 17.72 18.16 19	860												2	
Forehead hair-ledge point N	90.81					83.33							Б	Hair form
Forehead hair-ledge point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.96 point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.96 point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.96 point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 58.31 68.571 84.50 82.09 80.44 80.12 15 27 81 90 81.55 82.28 80 83.16 85.71 84.50 82.09 80.44 80.12 15 27 81 90 81.55 82.28 80 80.44 80.12 15 27 81 90 81.55 82.28 80 80.44 80.12 15 27 81 90 80.44 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.40 80.40 80.12 15 80.00 81.55 82.28 80 80.4	87	45	42	12	5	7	34	17	17	41	23	18	C	Han Ionn
hair-ledge point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 5.00 layer point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 5.00 layer point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 5.00 layer point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 5.00 layer point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 5.00 layer point N 58.16 85.71 84.50 82.09 80.44 81.22 71.43 77.27 75.00 81.55 82.28 82.09 layer point N 58.16 85.71 84.50 82.09 80.44 81.22 71.43 77.27 75.00 81.55 82.28 82.28 82.29 layer point N 58.20 15.50 17.91 19.56 18.78 28.59 22.73 25.00 18.45 17.72 191 208 399 198 210 408** 38 64 102 427 482 19.00 layer point N 5 9 14 3 15 18 4 2 6 12 26 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	9.19												C	
hair-ledge point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 59.00 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 57.79 50.00 57.40 58.07 58.00 57.79 50.00 57.40 58.07 58.00 57.40 58.07 58.00 57.40 58.00	400		187										V	Forehead
point N 56.63 54.84 55.69 54.73 57.78 56.34 73.81 69.70 71.30 57.40 58.07 58.07 59.00 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 346 30 51 81 358 418 10 165 181 34 181 35 181 3	42.24			28.70	30.30		43.66		45.27		45.16	43.37	1	
Eyefold of upper	547								110			111	NT	U
Eyelold of upper Yellower 83.16 85.71 84.50 82.09 80.44 81.22 71.43 77.27 75.00 81.55 82.28 82.28 12 15 27 81 90 90 90 90 81.55 82.28 83.28 82.29 82.63 93.27 83.28 83.29 83.21 83.21 83.22 83.27 83.27 <td>57.76</td> <td>58.07</td> <td></td> <td>71.30</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>56.34</td> <td>57.78</td> <td>54.73</td> <td></td> <td>54.84</td> <td>56.63</td> <td>14</td> <td>point</td>	57.76	58.07		71.30			56.34	57.78	54.73		54.84	56.63	14	point
upper 83.16 85.71 84.30 82.09 80.44 81.22 71.43 77.27 75.00 81.55 82.28 <th< td=""><td>776</td><td>418</td><td>358</td><td>81</td><td>51</td><td></td><td>346</td><td>181</td><td>165</td><td>349</td><td>186</td><td>163</td><td>v</td><td>Evefold of</td></th<>	776	418	358	81	51		346	181	165	349	186	163	v	Evefold of
Eyefold N 16.84 14.29 15.50 17.91 19.56 18.78 28.59 22.73 25.00 18.45 17.72 19.10 20.8 39.9 19.8 21.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8** 38 64 10.2 427 48.2 19.0 40.8 1	81.94	82.28	81.55	75.00	77.27	71.43	81.22	80.44	82.09	84.50	85.71	83.16	1	-
Mongoloid Y 191 208 399 198 210 408** 38 64 102 427 482 9 197.45 95.85 96.61 98.51 93.33 97.77 90.48 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.48 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 92.97 94.44 9	171	90	81	27	15	12	80	44	36	64	31	33	N	• •
Mongoloid Y 97.45 95.85 96.61 98.51 93.33 97.77 90.48 96.97 94.44 97.27 94.88 96.97 Fold N 5 9 14 3 15 18 4 2 6 12 26 3 Y 168 154 322*** 171 171 342** 35 47 82 374 372 372 Lobe type Y 85.71 70.97 77.97 85.07 76.00 80.28 83.33 71.21 75.93 85.19 73.23 N 28 63 91 30 54 84 7 19 26 65 136 2 Nasal S 157 188 345 150 182 332 28 57 85 335 427 Nasal P 39 29 68 51 43 94 14 9 23*	18.06	17.72	18.45	25.00	22.73	28.59		19.56	17.91	15.50	14.29	16.84	11	Eyefold
Fold N 5 9 14 3 15 18 4 2 6 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 26 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	909	482	427	102	64	38	408**	210	198	399	208	191	v	
Hold N 2.55 4.15 3.39 1.49 6.67 4.23 9.52 3.03 5.56 2.73 5.12 4 Y 168 154 322** 171 171 342* 35 47 82 374 372 Y 85.71 70.97 77.97 85.07 76.00 80.28 83.33 71.21 75.93 85.19 73.23 N 28 63 91 30 54 84 7 19 26 65 136 N 14.29 29.03 22.03 14.93 24.00 19.72 16.67 28.79 24.07 14.81 26.77 Nasal S 157 188 345 150 182 332 28 57 85 335 427 Nasal Profile P 39 29 68 51 43 94 14 9 23* 104 81 P 19.90 13.36 16.64 25.37 19.11 22.07 33.33 13.64 21.30 23.69 15.95 Nostril W 127 148 275 139 157 296 27 42 69 293 347 Nostril type N 69 69 138 62 68 130 15 24 39 146 161 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	95.99	94.88	97.27	94.44	96.97	90.48	97.77	93.33	98.51	96.61	95.85	97.45	1	Mongoloid
Lobe type A	38	26	12	6	2	4	18	15	3	14	9	5	N.T	Fold
Lobe type N 85.71 70.97 77.97 85.07 76.00 80.28 83.33 71.21 75.93 85.19 73.23 73.24 75.94 75.95	4.01	5.12	2.73	5.56	3.03	9.52	4.23	6.67	1.49		4.15	2.55	IN	1 010
Lobe type S8.71 70.97 77.97 88.07 76.00 80.28 83.33 71.21 75.93 88.19 73.23	746**	372	374	82	47	35	342*	171	171	322**	154	168	Y	Lobe type
Nasal S	78.78	73.23	85.19	75.93	71.21	83.33	80.28	76.00	85.07	77.97	70.97	85.71		
Nasal S 157 188 345 150 182 332 28 57 85 335 427 336 345	201	136	65	26	19	7	84	54	30	91	63	28	N	
Nasal S 80.10 86.64 83.54 74.63 80.89 77.93 66.67 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 84.06 86.70 76.31 84.06 86.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.26 86.36 78.70 76.31 84.06 86.26 86.26 86.23 86.26 86.23 86.26 86.23 86.	21.23	26.77	14.81	24.07	28.79	16.67	19.72	24.00	14.93	22.03	29.03	14.29	IN	
profile P 39 29 68 51 43 94 14 9 23* 104 81 19.90 13.36 16.64 25.37 19.11 22.07 33.33 13.64 21.30 23.69 15.95 19.10 Nostril W 64.80 68.20 66.59 69.15 69.78 69.48 64.29 63.64 63.89 66.74 68.31 (type N 69 69 138 62 68 130 15 24 39 146 161 35.20 31.79 33.41 30.85 30.22 30.52 35.71 36.36 36.11 33.26 31.69	762	427	335	85	57	28	332	182	150	345	188	157	C	
Nostril type N 69 69 138 62 68 130 15 24 39 146 161 33.26 31.69 15.95 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	80.47	84.06	76.31	78.70	86.36	66.67	77.93	80.89	74.63	83.54	86.64	80.10	3	Nasal
Nostril W 64.80 68.20 66.59 69.15 69.78 69.48 64.29 63.64 63.89 66.74 68.31 type N 69 69 138 62 68 130 15 24 39 146 161 35.20 31.79 33.41 30.85 30.22 30.52 35.71 36.36 36.11 33.26 31.69	185**	81	104	23^{*}	9	14	94	43	51	68	29	39	D	profile
Nostril W 64.80 68.20 66.59 69.15 69.78 69.48 64.29 63.64 63.89 66.74 68.31 (type N 69 69 138 62 68 130 15 24 39 146 161 33.26 31.69 35.20 31.79 33.41 30.85 30.22 30.52 35.71 36.36 36.11 33.26 31.69	19.54	15.95	23.69	21.30	13.64	33.33	22.07	19.11	25.37	16.64	13.36	19.90	Р	prome
type N 69 69 138 62 68 130 15 24 39 146 161 3 35.20 31.79 33.41 30.85 30.22 30.52 35.71 36.36 36.11 33.26 31.69	640	347	293	69	42	27	296	157	139	275	148	127	***	
N 35.20 31.79 33.41 30.85 30.22 30.52 35.71 36.36 36.11 33.26 31.69	67.58	68.31	66.74	63.89	63.64	64.29	69.48	69.78	69.15	66.59	68.20	64.80	W	Nostril
35.20 31.79 33.41 30.85 30.22 30.52 35.71 36.36 36.11 33.26 31.69 3	307	161	146	39	24	15	130	68	62	138	69	69	N.T	type
	32.42	31.69	33.26	36.11	36.36	35.71	30.52	30.22	30.85	33.41	31.79	35.20	IN	type
\mathbf{x} 186 183 369^{**} 175 187 362 32 50 82 393 420	813**	420	393	82	50	32	362	187	175	369**	183	186	T 7	
	85.85	82.68	89.52	75.93	75.76	76.19	84.98	83.11	87.06		84.33	94.90	Y	Front
tooth type 37 10 34 44 26 38 64 10 16 26 46 88	134	88	46	26	16	10	64	38	26	44	34	10	3.7	tooth type
N 5.10 15.67 10.65 12.94 16.89 15.02 23.81 24.24 24.07 10.48 17.32	14.15	17.32	10.48	24.07	24.24	23.81	15.02	16.89	12.94	10.65	15.67	5.10	N	
126 134 260 174 186 360 31 50 81 331 370	701	370	331	81	50	31	360		174		134	126		
	74.02												S	Chin
70 92 152 27 20 66 11 16 27 109 129	146												ъ	projection
projection b	25.98												P	projection

^{*:} significant sexual difference (0.01<p<0.05), **: very significant sexual difference(P<0.01)

Table 2. Comparison of 9 morphological traits in 3 Mongolian groups(γ^2)

Table 2. Comparison of 9 morphological traits in 3 Mongonan groups(χ)											
	Bargud×Elute	Bargud×Buriat	Elute×Buriat								
Hair form	0.976	0.131	1.072								
Forehead hair-ledge	0.036	8.651**	7.991**								
Eyefold of upper eyelid	1.590	5.364	2.081								
Mongoloid fold	0.399	3.659	3.036								
Lobe type	0.681	0.205	0.999								
Nasal profile	4.222^{*}	1.386	0.030								
Nostril type	0.810	0.278	1.247								
Font tooth type	3.570	13.258**	5.036 [*]								
Chin projection	50.501 ^{**}	5.494 [*]	5.414 [*]								

^{*:} significant difference (0.01 <P<0.05); **:very significant difference

81

Table 3. Correlation analysis between 9 traits in Mongolian nationality of Hulunbuir League Forehead Eyefold of Mongoloid Lobe Nasal Font tooth Chin																	
		Hair	form		ehead -ledge oint	Eyefo upp eye		Mong fo	goloid ld	Lol typ			sal file	Font tyj		Cł proje	
		C	S	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	P	S	N	W	Y	N
	Y	37	363														
Forehead	N	50	497														
	χ^2	0.0	003														
Eyefoldof	Y	65	7if	327	449												
upper	N	22	149	73	98												
eyelid	χ^2	3.	385	0.	017												
	Y	86	823	387	522	742	167										
Mongoloid FoId	N	1	37	13	25	34	4										
1 010	χ^2	1	303	1.	046	1.5	17										
	Y	67	679	317	429	613	133	711	35								
Lobe type	N	20	181	83	118	163	38	198	3								
	χ^2	0.	178	0.	093	0.13	24	4.2	07*								
37 1	P	19	166	89	96	154	31	174	11	142	43						
Nasal Profile	S	68	694	311	451	622	140	735	27	604	158						
1101110	χ^2	0.	323	3.	247	0.2	63	2.2	.31	0.5	6						
NT	N	35	272	149	158	255	52	296	11	229	78	86	221				
Nostri typel	W	52	588	251	389	521	119	613	27	517	123	99	541				
Сурсі	χ^2	2.	688	7.3	80**	0.3	84	0.2	18	4.75	52*	20.7	70**				
Font tooth type	P	70	743	338	475	671	141	784	29	641	172	158	655	256	557		
	S	17	117	62	72	104	30	125	9	105	29	27	107	51	83		
	χ^2	2.	291	1.	039	1.9	79	2.9	62	0.0	16	0.0)34	2.2	67		
Chin	P	24	222	103	143	203	43	233	13	193	53	52	194	72	174	214	32
protection	S	63	638	297	404	573	128	676	25	553	148	133	568	235	466	599	102
	χ^2	0.	129	0.	019	0.0	75	1.3	96	0.0)2	0.5	543	1.5	05	0.3	57

^{*:}significant correlation between the 2 traits (0.01<p<0.05); **:very significant correlation between the 2 traits.

3 Discussion

3.1 Frequency of g indexes in 3 Mongolian groups

3. 1. I Hair form

The overwhelming majority of Mongolian students (90.81%) in Hulunbuir league belong to straight hair. The frequencies of straight hair in the 3 groups is as follows: Elute (92.02%) >Bargud (90.07%) >Buriat(88.89%). The frequencies of straight hair show no significant difference between the 3 groups and between sexes (see Table 1,2).

Compared with native nationalities, Mongolian nationality in Hulunbuir league has a lower frequency of straight hair than following nationality in Yunnan province: Hanil^[5], Daizu^[6], Baizull^[7], Achang^[8], Primi^[9] (whose frequencies of straight hair are all 100%) and the following nationalities in Xiniiang: Mongolian nationality in of Tuerhute (male-92.63%, female-92.68%)^[10] Mongolian nationality in Yili district (male-96.70%, female-98.42)^[11]. In addition, the frequency of straight hair in Mongolian nationality in Hulunbuir league is close to Kazak (91.19%)^[11] and is higher than Uygur (79.81%)^[13] and Tank (male-25.71%, female-20.01%)^[14].

On the whole, Mongolian nationality in Hulunbuir league shows a lower frequency of straight hair among Chinese nationalities.

Table 4.Gene frequency of 7 indexes in Mongolian nationality of Hulunbuir league

		Bargud	Elute	Buriat	Total
Hair form	Н	0.0509	0.0407	0.0572	0.0471
	h	0.9491	0.9593	0.9428	0.9529
Forehead hair-ledge point	F	0.2537	0.2494	0.1556	0.2400
	f	0.7463	0.7506	0.8444	0.7600
Eyefold of upper eyelid	E	0.6063	0.5666	0.5000	0.575
	e	0.3937	0.4333	0.5	0.425
Mongoloid fold	M	0.8159	0.7943	0.7642	0.7998
	m	0.1841	0.2057	0.2358	0.2002
Lobe type	L	0.5306	0.5559	0.5094	0.5382
	1	0.4694	0.4441	0.4906	0.4618
Nasal profile	N	0.0860	0.1172	0.1129	0.1029
	n	0.9140	0.8828	0.8871	0.8971
Nostril type	W	0.4220	0.4476	0.3991	0.4306
	w	0.5780	0.5524	0.6009	0.5694

3.1.2 Forehead hair-ledge point

In Hulunbuir league, Mongolian nationality with forehead hair-ledge point appearance account for 42.24%. The frequency of forehead hair-ledge point appearance in the 3 groups is as follows: Bargud (44.31%)>Elute (43.66%PBuriat (28.70%)). The frequency shows very significant difference between Bargud and Buriat, Elute and Buriat. And the frequencies reveal no significant sexual difference.

The trait has not been found to be studied in other populations.

3.1.3 Evefold of upper evelid

Quite most Mongolian students (81.94%) in Hulunbuir league belong to the eyefold appearance of upper eyelid. The frequency of the eyefold appearance in the 3 groups is as follows f Bargud (84.50%)>Elute (81.22%)>Buriat(75.00%). The frequency of the eyefold appearance is significantly different between Bargud and Buriat, and isn't significantly different between sexes.

Because western populations have comparatively low frequency of the eyefold appearance, they even treat it as an abnormal character^[15]. However, it is exactly reversed in Chinese populations. With age's increase, the eyefold appearance gets a raise in frequency. The frequency of eyefold appearance is lower than Mongolian nationality aged from 12 to 20 years old in Alashan league (88.54%)^[16] and is close to Huizu age from 9 to 18 years old in Huhhot city (82.47%)^[15], and is distinctly higher than Manchu aged from 7 to 16 years old in Jilin province (39.22%)^[18]

3.1.4 Mongoloid fold

Although Mongoloid fold is looked as an abnormal character in western population who have low frequency of the fold, it is regarded as an normal one in Mongolians who have a high frequency of the fold. There is a frequency of Mongoloid fold of 95.99% in Mongolian students in Hulunbuir league. The frequency of the fold in the 3 groups is as follows' Elute (97.77%)>Bargud (96.61%)>Buriat (94.44%). The frequency has no significant difference between the 3 groups. Because of no significant sexual difference in the Mongolian population

- 83

and in the 3 inter groups except Elute, it is believe that the frequency of the fold has no distinctly related with sex.

Previous studies reveal that the frequency of Mongoloid fold has close relation with average age of population. Therefore we compared Mongolian nationality with other populations about the same age. Mongolian students in Hulunbuir league have a close frequency of Mongoloid fold to Huizu aged from 9 to 18 years old, and Tibetan aged from 13 to 17 years old (93.65%)^[19], and has a higher frequency than Mongolian nationality in Alashan league (89.13%), Yaozu aged from 7 to 16 years old in Guangxi province (87.17%)^[20], and Manchu aged from 7 to 16 years old in Jilin province (77.45%). In general, Mongolian students show a higher frequency of Mongoloid fold in China. The incidence of the fold indicates obvious racial difference. Mongoloid fold is usually found in Mongolians in middle, northern, and western Asia while it is lack in Europeans, Australians, Melanesians, Africans^[2].

3.1.5 Lobe type

Most Mongolian students (78.77%) appear lobe in Hulunbuir league. The frequency of lobe appearance in the 3 groups is as follows f Elute (80.28%)>Bargud (77.97%)> Buriat (75.93%). The frequency shows significant differences in the Mongolian population and in the 3 inter groups except Buriat. It can be blown that the frequency is related to sex. In other words, the frequency is higher in male than in female.

Mongolian students in Hulunbuir league show low frequency of lobe disappearance (21.23%) than following Asian populations: Chinese in Hongkong (64.3%)^[21], Japanese (67.1%)^[21], Pakistan(46.70%)^[22],middle indian Murias (53.90%),Halbas (44.92%) and Bisonhorn Marias (64.40%)^[23],and northern indian Panwales (45.9%), Settled Gaddis (49.9%), Transhumant Gaddis (50.4%)^[24],Indian Skimm'Sherpas (43.6%),Lepchas (60.2%) and Bhutias (51 .3%)^[25]. On the whole, Mongolian nationality in Hulunbuir league is higher in the frequency of lobe appearance in Asia.

3.1.6 Nasal profile

Most Mongolian students (80.47%) belong to straight nose. The frequency of straight nose in the 3 groups is as follows f Bargud (83.54%)>Buriat (78.70%)>Elute (77.93%). There is a significant difference between Bargud and Elute. In the Mongolian population, the frequency is very significant higher in female than in male (p<0.01).

Mongolian nationality in Hulunbuir league show lower frequency of straight nose than Mongolian nationality (97.93%)^[26] and Kazak (90.71%) in Xinjiang, and Yugur (96.2%)^[27] and Huizu (92.3%)^[28],in Gansu province, and show higher frequency than Manchu (68.33%) in Jinn province, Daur (67.38%)^[29] in Heilongjiang province. Furthermore, compared with populations in southern China, Mongolian nationality in Hulunbuir league shows a lower frequency of straight nose than Ham (99.47%), Jino (95.60%)^[30] Yaozu (98.08%). Generally, Mongolians in Hulunbuir league have a lower frequency of straight nose in Chinese populations.

3.1.7 Nostril type

Relative majority of Mongolian students (67.58%) in Hulunbuir league belong to wide nostril, which is interrelated with comparatively flat nose, low value of nose depth. It can be deduced that northern people tend to have narrow nostril, based on their projecting nose, high value of nose depth. The frequency of wide nostril in the 3 groups is as follows: Elute (69.48%)>Bargud (66.59%)>Buriat (63.89%). There is no significant difference between the 3 groups and is also no significant sexual difference.

3.1.8 Front tooth type

Great number of Mongolian students (85.85%) in Hulunbuir belongs to shovel-shovel front tooth. The frequency of shovel-shaped front tooth in the 3 groups is as follows: Bargud (89.35%)>Elute (84.98%)>Buriat (75.93%). Buriat has an obviously lower frequency of the shovel-shaped front tooth than the 2 groups. The Mongolian students show very significant sexual difference in the frequency (p<0.01).

The study on the trait has been seldom found.

3.1.9 Chin projection

The frequency of straight chin is 74.02% in Mongolian students' ill Hulunbuir league. The frequency in the 3 groups is as follows f Elute (84.51%)>Buriat (75.00%)>Bargud (62.95%). The frequency shows significant or very significant difference between the 3 groups. However, no sexual significant difference is found in the Mongolian population. Previous data showed the frequency of straight chin is lower in male than in female, such as Uygur (male-33.33%, female-63.95%), Mongolian nationality (male-69.18%, female-51.03%), Tuna (male-60.43%, female-91.47%)^[31]. Therefore, it need to be make further research on the sexual difference about this trait.

3.2 Interrelation analysis between 9 morphological traits (ac -Interrelated analysis)

The interrelated analysis between 9 morphological traits shows that, of the 36 pairs of traits, only 4 pairs have interrelation (see table 3). It can be deduced that the 9 head-face traits show little influence with each other. The 4 pairs of traits with interrelation are as follows: lobe type-Mongoloid fold, nostril type-forehead hair-ledge point, nostril type-lobe type, nostril type-nasal profile. The coefficient of interrelation is 20.77 between nostril type and nasal profile. Of 307 students with narrow nostril, the students with projecting nose account for 28.01%, and those with straight nose account for 71 .99%. However, of 640 students with wide nostril, the students with projecting nose account for 15.47%, those with straight nose account for 84.33%. 11 can be concluded that narrow nostril and projecting nose belong to a pair of related character, and wide nostril and straight nose do that.

3.3 Gene frequency of 7 genetic characters

Of the 9 indexes, 7 indexes have been confirmed their hereditary pattern. Specifically, dominant/recessive character is correspondingly curling/straight hair, forehead hair-ledge point appearance/disappearance, eye fold appearance/d is appearance, I o be appearance/disappearance, projecting/straight nose, wide/narrow nostril. Hair form, forehead hair-ledge point, nasal profile has high frequency of recessive gene. Thus, these recessive characters show the distinctly higher percentage of phenotype than their dominant characters.

Reference

- [1] Wang Zhen, Shen Binhua, Cheng Hua. 1997. Mongolian population in China. Huhhott Inner Mongolia University press.
- [2] Shao Xiangqing. 1985. Measure handbook on human body. Shanghai: Shanghai literature press.
- [3] Compiling group about human genetics. 1987. Human genetic basis. Bedings higher education press.
- [4] Martin R, Saller K. 1961. Lehrbuch der Anthropologic. Fischer, Stuttgart.
- [5] Wang Guilun, Dai Xingyi, Xu Zixing et al. 1982. Preliminary study of physical morphology of Ham. Findings report on physique in Chinese & nationalities. Kunming: Yunnan people's press.
- [6] Wu Rongyou, Xia YuanMin, Cheng Wengliang et al. 1982. Preliminary stUdy of physical morphology of Daizu. Findings report on physique in Chinese & nationalities. Kunming; Yunnan people's press.
- [7] Cheng Wengliang, Guo Changfu, Wu Rongyou et al. 1982. Preliminary study of physical morphology of Baizu. Findings report on physique in Chinese & nationalities. Kunmingf Yunnan people's press.
- [8] Li Ming, Li Yuemin, Cheng Hongzhi et al. 1992. Studies on the character of the Achang nationality in Yunnan. Acta Anthropologica sinica,11(1):20-26.
- [9] Li Ming, Li Yuemin, Yu Fachang. 1995. Physical characters of Pumi nationality in Yunnan. ActaAnthropologica sinica, 14(3):227-232.
- [10] Wang Jinglan, Shao Xingzhou, Cul Jing et al. 1993. Anthropological survey on the Mongolian Tuerhute tribe in He Shuo county, Xinjiang. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 12(2): 137-146.
- [1 l] Al Qionghua, Zhao Jianxin, Xiao Hut et al. 1994. Anthropometric study of Mongols in Xinjiang. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 13(l):46-55.
- [12] Al Qionghua, Zhao Jianxin, Xiao Hut et al. 1994. A study on physiognomic characteristics of Kazak nationality. Journal of anatomy, 17(2): 186-191.
- [13] Al Qionghua, Xiao Hut, Zhao Jianxin et al. A survey on physical characteristics of Uigur nationality. Acta Anthropologica sinica,12(4):357-365.
- [14] Shao xingzhou, Cul Jing, Wang Jinglan, et al. A survey on physical characteristics of Tank nationality in Xinjiang. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 9(2): 1 13- 121.
- [15] Liu Zudong, Du Chuanshu. 1983. Medical genetics. Beijing f People hygience press.
- [16] Zheng Lianbin, Butegeqile. 1989. A study on eye features of Mongolians in Zuo bafiner of
- Alashan league. Journal of inner Mongolia Normal University, (1):45-49.
- [17] Zheng Lianbin, Lu Shunhua, Bac Hugejiletu. 1993. Eye feature of students of Hut nationalityin Huhhot city* Journal of inner Mongolia Normal UniverSity, (2):70-74.

- 85

ZHENG Lianbing, et al: Study on 9 Traits in Mongolian Nationality of Hulunbuir League

- [18] Han Xiangiun, He Qin, Duan Xiuji et al. A physical survey on Man nationality in Jinn province. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 12(1):55-63.
- [19] Xia Yuanmin, Wu Rongyou.1982. Preliminary study of physical morphology of Zangzu. Findings report on physique in Chinese & nationalities. Kunming' Yunnan people's press.
- [20] Wu Rongyou, Xia Yuanmin. 1982. Preliminary st-Udy of physical morphology of Yaozu. Findings report on physique in Chinese & nationalities. Kunming: Yunnan people's press.
- [21] Lai L Y C, Walsh R J. 1965. Observations on ear lobe types. Acta Genet (Basel) 16:250-257.
- [22] Mian A, Bhutta A M, Mushtaq R. 1994. Genetic studies in some ethnic groups of Pakistan (Southern Pun jab): colour blindness, ear lobe attachment and behavioural traits. Anthrop Anz.,52: 17-22.
- [23] Datta U, Mitra M, Singhrol C S. 1989. Study of nine anthroposcopic traits among the three tribes of the Bastar District in Madhya Pradesh., India. Anthrop Anz., 47:57-71.
- [24] Bhasin M K, Singh P P, Walter H et al. 1986. Genetic studies of Pangwalas, Transhumant and Settled Gaddis. Anthrop Anz., 44:45-53.
- [25] Bhasin M K, Shil A P, Sharma M B et al. 1987. Biology of the people of Sikkim, India, Anthrop Anz., 45:351 -360.
- [26] Al Qionghua, Sal Fuding, Xu Yuhe et al. 1996. Comparison of head-face characters in Hazak, Uygur and Mongolian nationalities in Xinjiang. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 15(1):50-57.
- [27] Dai Yujing, Ding Jiansheng, Zou Zhanbiao. 1987. Preliminary study on physical characters of Uugur nationality in Gansu province. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 6(4):315-323.
- [28] Dai Yujing, Xi Ruisheng, Zhao Jin. 1996. Preliminary study on the physical features of Hut nationality in the town of Lin-cia. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 15(3):233-240.
- [29] Shi Quande, Hu Junqing, Yang Hongyou. 1983. A survey on physique of Daur nationality in Heilongiiang province. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 2(1):6-0-71.
- [30] Cheng Wengliang, Guo Changfu, Wei Wei et al. 1982. Preliminary study of physical morphology of Jino. Findings report on physique in Chinese & nationalities. Kunming: Yunnan people's press.
- [31] Luo Yuncai, Han Chengzhu, Xiao Guanyu. 1985. Physical characters of Tuna nationality, in Hunan province. Acta Anthropologica sinica, 4(2): 160-172.