Natural Selection on Human Y Chromosomes
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In the field of anthropology, the uniparentally inherited Y chromosome has long been used to trace the paternal lineage of the populations and to understand differences in migration and population genetics between males and females, with additional advantages of small effective population size, sufficient markers, and population-specific haplotype distribution (Jobling and Tyler-Smith, 1995; Jin and Su, 2000; Underhill et al., 2000). Many such population studies have rested on the assumption that all the Y chromosome markers in the non-recombination regions are selectively neutral (Jobling and Tyler-Smith, 2003). However, the Y chromosome is not free from variable phenotypic effects, e.g., the male infertility and Turner syndrome (Ferlin et al., 2007; Hjerrild et al., 2008). Even if the particular Y chromosomal markers employed in a population study are non-functional, they may be linked to detrimental or advantageous mutations, or structural variations elsewhere on the chromosome. Because the bulk of Y chromosome does not undergo recombination, any positive or negative selection would affect the entire chromosome (Jobling and Tyler-Smith, 2003). Actually, among population geneticists, a debate has lasted for 30 years about whether the Y chromosome has been affected by natural selection. With the development of sequencing technology in recent years, Y chromosome sequence data has been gradually accumulated and enables us to address this complex issue step by step.

STRUCTURE OF Y CHROMOSOME

Human sex chromosomes, X and Y, evolved from a pair of homologous autosomes during the past 160–180 million years (Lahn and Page, 1999; Mikkelson et al., 2007; Warren et al., 2008; Hughes et al., 2012). The human Y chromosome spans about 59 mega base pairs (Mb), with 95% of its length inherited uniparentally from fathers to their sons without the recombination with X chromosome (Skaletsky et al., 2003). This majority region of Y chromosome has long been known as non-recombining region, or NRY; however, the discovery of abundant gene conversion in this region persuades us to rename it the male-specific region, or MSY (Rozen et al., 2003). The pseudoautosomal regions (PAR), PAR1 and PAR2, flank on both sides of MSY. PAR1 comprises 2.6 Mb of the short-arm tips of both X and Y chromosomes in humans. PAR2 is located at the tips of the long arms, spanning 320 kb. PAR1 and PAR2 of Y chromosome can recombine with those on the X chromosome (Mangs and Morris, 2007).

The MSY is highly heterogeneous, consisting of two distinct types of sequence, heterochromatic and euchromatic regions. The heterochromatic portions mainly refer to a single 40 Mb mass of heterochromatin on the long arm. The euchromatic portions comprise the X-transposed, X-degenerate, and ampliconic regions (Skaletsky et al., 2003). The X-transposed regions, which are 99% identical to DNA sequences in Xq21, originated from an X-to-Y transposition about 3–4 million years ago, after the divergence of human and chimpanzee. Only two genes have been identified within the 3.4 Mb of the X-transposed regions (Page et al., 1984; Skaletsky et al., 2003). The X-degenerate regions, which are 99% identical to DNA sequences in Xq21, originated from an X-to-Y transposition about 3–4 million years ago, after the divergence of human and chimpanzee. The X-degenerate regions, which have a combined length of 8.6 Mb, are suggested to be surviving relics of shared X–Y ancestry from ancient autosomes, sparsely populated with 16 single-copy gene or pseudogene homologs of X-linked genes. Some X-degenerate genes are expressed widely throughout the body; however, the sex-determining SRY (sex-determining region of Y-chromosome) gene is found to be expressed...
predominantly in testis (Lahn and Page, 1997; Skalesky et al., 2003). The ampliconic regions are composed largely of long duplicated sequences, spanning 10.2 Mb. Most duplicated sequences are arranged in palindromic structures and form eight massive palindromes (P1—P8). Those regions exhibit the highest density of both coding and non-coding regions, with 60 genes in nine distinct multicopy gene families. In contrast to the widely expressed X-degenerate genes, the ampliconic genes and transcription units express primarily or exclusively in testis, and thus are of great significance for the evolution of male features (Rozen et al., 2003; Skalesky et al., 2003; Hughes and Rozen, 2012).

LOW DIVERSITY OF Y CHROMOSOME

A very low level of Y chromosome polymorphism has been reported since the middle of 1980s. During that time, Y-specific probes had been isolated from cosmids libraries and used in association with a set of restriction enzymes to search for male-specific restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) (Lucotte and Ngo, 1985; Ngo et al., 1986; Malaspina et al., 1990; Oakey and Tyler-Smith, 1990; Torroni et al., 1990). For instance, in the study of Malaspina et al. (1990), 12 probes and 12 restriction enzymes were used to detect Y chromosome variations in 131 unrelated males. However, no polymorphic pattern was observed even in such a systematic search. A series of similar studies also conformed to the conclusion of very low diversity of human Y chromosome, compared with the autosomes and X chromosome. Two possible reasons had been raised at that time to explain the low diversity (Malaspina et al., 1990). First, Y chromosomes are free from recombination, except for the very small pieces of PARs. However, recombination mechanism is in itself mutagenic (Huang et al., 2005). Thus, the paternally transmitted Y chromosomes are protected from mutations. Second, positive selection can reduce the level of polymorphism at the linked loci with favorable mutations, which is called genetic hitchhiking effect. In the case of Y chromosome, selectively driven fixation of an allele at any locus would result in the loss of polymorphism at the entire MSY (Malaspina et al., 1990).

However, most of the RFLPs mentioned above are directed to the uncharacterized sequences Yq11. In addition, the conclusion of low diversity of Y chromosomes was mainly drawn from the comparisons among Y chromosomes, autosomes and X chromosomes, without using the interspecies divergence to correct for the mutation rate of the regions examined. Since the middle 1990s, direct sequencing of the well-characterized Y chromosomal regions of human and other primates has shed more light on the dispute about natural selection on human Y chromosome. Dorit et al. (1995) sequenced a 729 bp intron of the ZFY (Zinc finger Y-chromosomal protein) region in 38 human samples and three nonhuman primates-chimpanzee, gorilla, and orangutan. Interspecies comparisons showed that variable sites are distributed throughout the ZFY intron. However, no variation has been detected in the world-wide male human samples. Dorit et al. (1995) suggested a very recent common ancestor for human males (270,000 years with 95% confidence interval: 0 to 800,000 years). The large interval might imply the possible bias in the time estimation. Nevertheless, the lack of polymorphism at ZFY is probably a result of recent selective sweep. At the same time, Whitfield et al. (1995) only found three substitutions in humans by sequencing 18.3 kb of the sex-determining SRY region from five humans and one chimpanzee. Unlike the above other studies, Whitfield et al. (1995) compared the Y chromosome variations with the maternal inherited mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). The mean human-human distance of Y chromosomes is 250 times smaller than that of the mtDNA. After correcting for the different mutation rates of Y chromosome and mtDNA, Whitfield et al. (1995) generated a very recent coalescence time for Y chromosomes (37,000—49,000 years), which is still 3 to 10 times smaller than that of mtDNA (120,000—474,000 years). The lower Y chromosome diversity compared with the mtDNA might support that the suggested hitchhiking effect is caused by the selected sweep of an advantageous Y chromosome. However, the demographic history of human might also have influence on the genetic patterns. For instance, the cultural practice of polygyny could let a small number of males to have a disproportionately large number of offspring. Actually, people started to take into account the effective population size when searching for the possible natural selection on the human Y chromosomes. However, at almost the same time, Hammer’s study about Y Alu polymorphic (YAP) locus rejected the explanation that selection reduced the diversity of human Y chromosome (Hammer, 1995). He sequenced 2.6 kb of YAP regions from 16 human and four chimpanzee Y chromosomes. He observed an average of one nucleotide difference between two randomly chosen YAP loci. The HKA (Hudson—Kreitman—Aguade) test was used to search for selection at this locus. The ratio of nucleotide diversity within humans (π) to divergence between human and chimpanzee (D) at the YAP locus is almost the same as the π/D ratios at mtDNA COII and ND4-5. Furthermore, the π/D ratios of YAP and β-globin are not significantly different from the quadruple difference under a neutral model. The same π/D ratios at Y chromosome, mtDNA, and autosomes rejected the possible selective sweeps on the human Y chromosomes. The above three studies have a common defect, the small sample size. There are also many ongoing debates about whether the comparisons among Y chromosome, mtDNA, and autosomes are the appropriate ways to evaluate the Y chromosome diversity. Jaruzelska et al. (1999) sequenced the last introns of Y chromosome ZFY gene and its X homolog ZFX to address those disputes. Only one variant was found within the 676-bp ZFY intron in 205 world-wide males. However, 10 variable sites were detected in 1089 bp of the ZFX region from 336 X chromosomes. The diversity of ZFX intron was higher than that of ZFY, but lower than that of neutrally evolved genomic region. Although the interspecies divergence in ZFY and ZFX was also reduced, the HKA and Tajima tests did not reject neutrality. It is possible that the very low diversity at the ZFY locus might reduce the power of HKA and Tajima tests.
In addition, selection might be more difficult to be detected in the recent expanding human populations (Jaruzelska et al., 1999). Then, the next question comes to whether population demographic history has influenced the Y chromosome diversity and the possible selection process, and if so, to what extent?

**INFLUENCE OF DEMOGRAPHIC HISTORY**

Since the late 1990s, denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) method has been used to detect the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the single-copy regions of MSY (Underhill et al., 1997, 2000). During the last ten years, a robust genealogical tree of human Y chromosomes based on numerous stable SNPs has been built, permitting inference of human population demographic history (Karafet et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2011).

Different demographic histories of male and female might influence the interpretation of the observed difference among paternal Y chromosome, maternal mtDNA, and autosomes, for instance, the sex-biased migration. Sex-biased migration refers to a higher female migration rate in human populations (Seielstad et al., 1998). A series of studies have revealed higher $F_{ST}$ (fixation index) values and lower diversities for the SNP and STR (short tandem repeat) data of MSY than mtDNA and autosomes within or among world-wide populations, which indicates that Y chromosomes tend to be more localized geographically (Seielstad et al., 1998). A series of studies have revealed higher $F_{ST}$ (fixation index) values and lower diversities for the SNP and STR (short tandem repeat) data of MSY than mtDNA and autosomes within or among world-wide populations, which indicates that Y chromosomes tend to be more localized geographically (Seielstad et al., 1998; Oota et al., 2001; Destro-Bisol et al., 2004; Nasidze et al., 2004; Wen et al., 2004; Wood et al., 2005). The most interesting is the observation from Oota et al. (2001) that the $F_{ST}$ value of mtDNA in matrilocal population of Northern Thailand is more than two times higher than that of MSY. Thus, this discrepancy is probably caused by residence patterns. The majority of human societies are patrilocal, in which a married couple tends to reside with or near the husband’s parents. As a result, women move more frequently than do men between populations or groups, leading to the greater between-population differences for the paternal Y chromosome than that of the maternal mtDNA (Stoneking, 1998). The patriality might be reasonable to explain the sex-biased genetic difference on a local scale. But now comes the question: how can patrilriority shape the continental or even global-scale sex-biased genetic patterns observed by Seielstad et al. (1998)? Actually, there has been a debate about whether the SNPs in the genealogical tree of Y chromosome could be used for diversity inference. SNPs are usually ascertained in small numbers of males and then genotyped in much larger population samples. This nonrandom sampling of SNPs can result in an ascertainment bias, which might overestimate the diversity in populations in which those SNPs are discovered (Jobling, 2012). Technological advances in sequencing now allow access to very large amounts of genetic data, which is eliminating this bias. For example, Wilder et al. (2004a) directly compared 6.7 kb of Y chromosome Alu region and 770 bp of the mitochondrial COX3 gene in 389 individuals from ten globally diverse human populations to see whether the global-scale patterns of sex-biased migrations really exist. They found that within-continent and between-continent genetic differentiations for Y chromosome and mtDNA were all similar, which suggest broader-scale genetic patterns might not always be caused by residence patterns. Furthermore, the between-population variations for Y chromosome and mtDNA were strongly and significantly correlated. This correlation, as Wilder et al. (2004a) suggested, might indicate that demographic history rather than natural selection is the primary determinant of genetic distance between the surveyed populations.

When we use demographic history rather than natural selection to explain the discrepant genetic pattern of Y chromosome and mtDNA, we have to be very cautious with the effective population sizes of male and female. Polygyny, higher rates of male mortality, or a greater variance in male lifetime reproductive success, can decrease the effective population size of males, which subsequently reduce the Y chromosome diversity within populations relative to the mtDNA and autosomes (Seielstad et al., 1998; Wilder et al., 2004b).

Thus, a question posed to us is how to coordinate the relationship among sex-biased migration, natural selection, and effective population size. Hammer and his colleagues tried to address this issue by sequencing 26.5 kb of non-coding DNA from MSY and 782 bp of mitochondrial COX3 in a sample of 25 Khoisan, 24 Mongolians, and 24 Papua New Guineans. Only the Fu and Li’s $D^*$ test for the mtDNA in Mongolian is more negative than expected, and the Fu and Li’s $D^*$ test for the MSY in Khoisan is slightly greater than expected; however, neither of the values were statistically significant. Furthermore, they first assumed that the effective populations size for male and female were equal, and then performed coalescent simulations of bottlenecked genealogies under a variety of scenarios in Khoisan to see whether a selective sweep shaped difference in the MSY and mtDNA genealogies (Wilder et al. 2004b). However, these simulations, regardless of strong bottleneck, weak bottleneck or bottlenecks with population contraction, all failed to produce results that are compatible with the observed levels of variation in Y chromosomes of Khoisan. They concluded that a higher female effective population size rather than natural selection had caused the genetic difference between Y chromosome and mtDNA (Wilder et al., 2004b). Another factor that led Hammer et al. to draw the foregoing conclusion is that mtDNA is likely to be subject to stronger purifying selection acting against deleterious mutations than MSY, if purifying selection really has an influence on mtDNA and Y chromosome. The reason is that mtDNA has a background mutation rate that is nearly 10 times higher than that of the MSY, and mtDNA has much less redundancy as to gene content than the MSY (Wilder et al., 2004b). In addition, mtDNA mutations might be associated with male infertility. It has been reported that even a modest reduction in sperm power produced by mtDNA may reduce sperm mobility in human, but symptoms of disease are generally not observed until the function of mtDNA is reduced by 80% or more (Gemmell and Sin, 2002). That is to say, mutations that might lead to the male infertility probably have
very little effect on female fitness. In recent years, a lot of high-quality whole genome datasets have been available with the application of next-generation sequencing technology. Sayres et al. (2013) used complete genomic sequence data from 16 African and European males to carry out genomewide analyses in searching for the possible effect of natural selection. It was found that the diversity of Y chromosome is extremely low, which is even an order of magnitude lower than the neutral expectation of one-quarter autosomal diversity. In contrast, the diversity of mtDNA is not reduced compared to expectations under neutrality. The expected value for X chromosome to autosome ratio is 0.75, and those for Y chromosome to autosome and mtDNA to autosome ratios are 0.25, assuming male and female have the same effective population size. Those ratios were used as reference in their extensive simulations. Reduction in the male effective population sizes actually decreases the expected diversity of Y chromosome. However, the reduction observed in Y chromosome data predicts very high levels of autosomes, X chromosomes and mtDNA diversity, which are not consistent with the reference ratio of these genetic systems. Sayres et al. (2013) concluded that natural selection had reduced the diversity of human Y chromosomes. Furthermore, they performed forward simulations to confirm the role of purifying selection in reducing the Y chromosome diversity. The results also suggested selection might act on the ampliconic regions of Y chromosome. As mentioned above, the ampliconic regions are responsible for the development of testis, and thus their prediction makes some sense at this point.

Another complex question is that possible natural selection acting on Y chromosome is difficult to be examined in expanding populations. We tend to consider the expansions of some specific Y chromosomal lineages as results of social selection rather than natural selection. For instance, the expansion and world-wide distribution of Y chromosome haplogroup C3* star-cluster have been suggested to be associated with the specific social prestige – the increased reproductive fitness of Genghis Khan (Zerjal et al., 2003). Similarly, haplogroup C3c-M48 was suggested to be spread by the Qing Dynasty Manchurian nobility (Xue et al., 2005). Another case is that haplogroup O3a1c-002611 is one of the three main subclades of East Asia specific haplogroup O3-M122, accounting for about 16% of the Han Chinese. The late Neolithic expansion of O3a1c-002611 was suggested to be attributed to prosperity of agriculture (Wang et al., 2013). However, positive selection can also increase the frequency of a Y chromosomal lineage with advantageous mutations more rapidly than others. The question is how to distinguish the signal of possible selection from that of population expansion.

COMPARISON WITH Y CHROMOSOMES OF OTHER PRIMATES

Population demographic history really poses a big problem for population geneticists to detect the possible selection on human Y chromosomes. However, the successful sequencing of complete Y chromosomes of human, chimpanzee, and rhesus macaque in David Page’s lab (Rozen et al., 2003; Hughes et al., 2005; Rozen et al., 2009; Hughes et al., 2010) has provided empirical data that enable us to directly search for the answer at evolutionary scale.

The Y chromosomes of human and rhesus have five evolutionary strata, each of which was probably generated through an inversion to suppress the recombination between the Y chromosome and X chromosome. The oldest stratum dated back over 240 million years (Myr), and the youngest stratum originated only 30 Myr ago. Within the oldest four strata, the human and rhesus possess precisely the same 18 ancestral genes, meaning that no gene was lost in those four strata during the past 25 Myr after the split of the human and rhesus. Furthermore, 17 of the ancestral 18 genes have a ratio of nonsynonymous substitution rate to synonymous substitution rate (dN/dS) that is less than one, of which 11 are statistically significant. This strict evolutionary conservation of ancestral genes on Y chromosomes is attributed to purifying selection (Hughes et al., 2012).

Although the MSY of chimpanzee has lost six genes in the 6 Myr since the split of the human and chimpanzee, it contains twice as many massive palindromes in ampliconic regions as the human MSY (Rozen et al., 2003). As mentioned in the beginning, genes in the ampliconic regions are expressed restrictedly or exclusively in testis and have critical functions in sperm production. The ampliconic regions of chimpanzee might be subject to powerful positive selection driven by fierce sperm competition (Hughes et al., 2010). In contrast, no X-degenerate gene loss has occurred during the last 6 Myr of human evolution. Furthermore, the human—chimpanzee interspecies divergence in coding regions of X-degenerate genes is significantly less than that in introns, indicating purifying selection has played a vital role in maintaining the function of X-degenerate genes during recent human evolution (Hughes et al., 2005).

Then, we can’t help asking if the purifying selection has continued to affect the human Y chromosomes in the more recent period, such as out of Africa 100 thousand years ago? David Page and his colleagues again focused on the X-degenerate genes to address this question (Rozen et al., 2009). They sequenced the 16 X-degenerate single-copy genes and 5 single-copy pseudogenes in 105 male samples representing 47 world-wide lineages of the Y chromosomal genealogy. They found that nonsynonymous nucleotide diversity is significantly lower than that at synonymous sites, in introns, and in pseudogenes. However, the nucleotide diversity of the introns among the Y chromosomes studied was about an order of magnitude lower than that in the rest of the genome, suggesting other demographic factors probably have reduced the effective population size of males (Rozen et al., 2009).

CONCLUSION

The long-going debate has come to an end with the solid evidence of natural selection on Y chromosomes. Purifying selection has maintained the strict evolutionary conservation of ancestral X-degenerate genes on Y chromosomes. Positive
selection might play a role in the evolution of testis-related genes in the amniotic regions. These new findings strongly suggest the natural selection on human Y chromosomes should be a vital parameter to consider when Y chromosome data in population genetic studies are used.
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